

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/751,048 | 12/31/2003 | Bum Jae Lee | 060943-0054 | 1948 |
| 24341 | 7590 02/23/2005 | • | EXAMINER | |
| MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP. | | | ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN | |
| 2 PALO ALTO 3000 EL CAM | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| PALO ALTO, CA 94306 | | | 3612 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | 0.00 | 10/751,048 | LEE, BUM JAE | | | |
| 1 | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| _ | ~ | Patricia L Engle | 3612 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Stat | us | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2 | a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ; | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,4-7 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) [3) 🔀 | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/25/04. | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/751,048 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 4 is rendered indefinite because of the phrase "and is engaged" at the end of the claim. What is engaged? What is it engaged with?
- 5. The term "sikaflex" in claim 8 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "sikaflex" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. What is a sikaflex?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3612

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Borum et al. (US Patent 4,837,914).

Regarding claim 1, Borum et al. disclose a high roof structure of a vehicle comprising: a high roof (20) formed in a container shape using a FRP (Fiber Reinforced Plastics) (column 3, lines 21-28) and being provided with a reinforcing structure, wherein said high roof (20) is covered on a cut-away portion of a ceiling portion of a vehicle body (Fig. 3); and a flange part (21) bent inwardly from the roof (20) on the entire portions of a front part, a rear part and a side part of the high roof (Fig. 1), wherein said flange part (21) is engaged with each roof rail part (Fig. 6 and 7) of the vehicle body by an adhering means (31) and an engaging means (30).

Regarding claim 9, Borum et al. disclose the high roof structure according to claim 1, wherein a weather strip (31) is engaged on the entire surrounding portions in the rear roof rail part of the vehicle body covered by the high roof (20) and inner end portion of the side rail part.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borum et al.

Regarding claim 3, Borum et al. disclose a high roof structure of a vehicle according to claim 1. Borum et al. do not disclose that the engaging means contacts an outer panel of a vehicle and a roof rail. It is well known to make a vehicle with roof rails along the outer edge of the roof. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to connect the engaging means to an outer vehicle panel and the roof rail. The motivation would

Art Unit: 3612

have been to maintain the rigidity of the vehicle when the roof panel is removed and the

- conversion panel is attached.

Regarding claim 8, Borum et al. does not disclose that the adhering means is a sikaflex.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a

known material as an adhesive, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in

the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2, 4-7 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The prior art discloses other conversion tops for vehicles.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patricia L Engle whose telephone number is (703) 306-5777.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 to 4:30. After April 5, the

Examiner can be reached at (571) 272-6660.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L Engle Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

ple February 14, 2005